Office of Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service

memorandum

CC:LM:MCT:CLE:PIT:TL-N-59-01
MAYost

date:

to: John Niederst, Team Manager - Group 1672

from: Associate Area Counsel, LM:MCT:CLE:PIT

subject: Proper Execution of Form 870

U.I.L. Nos. 6231-06-00 and 1502.77-00

This is in response to your memorandum dated December 27, 2000, that requests advice on securing a Form 870, Waiver of Restrictions on Assessment and Collection of Deficiency in Tax and Acceptance of Overassessment, particularly with respect to a partnership adjustment relating to the consolidated tax return for the year ended for

This memorandum is subject to 10-day post review by our National Office and, therefore, is subject to modification.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

This advice constitutes return information subject to I.R.C. § 6103. This advice contains confidential information subject to attorney-client and deliberative process privileges and if prepared in contemplation of litigation, subject to the attorney work product privilege. Accordingly, the I.R.S. recipient of this document may provide it only to those persons whose official tax administration duties with respect to this case require such disclosure. In no event may this document be provided to I.R.S. personnel or other persons beyond those specifically indicated in this statement. This advice may not be disclosed to taxpayers or their representatives.

This advice is not binding on the I.R.S. and is not a final case determination. Such advice is advisory and does not resolve Service position on an issue or provide the basis for closing a case. The determination of the Service in the case is to be made through the exercise of the independent judgment of the office with jurisdiction over the case.

ISSUE

Who is the proper party to execute a Form 870, particularly with respect to an agreed partnership adjustment relating to the consolidated tax year of for and subsidiaries, and what special language, if any, should be added to the Form 870?

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. A partner-level agreement evidenced by a Form 870 is not necessary to make the appropriate assessment of tax for partnership item adjustments flowing from _______. Under Treas. Reg. § 301.6231(a)(6)-1T, the Service is authorized to assess the tax arising from the partnership item adjustments through a computational adjustment, which can be made without securing any further agreement or waiver from ______. We recommend that this procedure be followed. There is, however, only a short time period in which to timely make the assessment. Based upon the fact that a decision was entered by the Tax Court in the TEFRA proceeding relating to ______ on ______ on _______.
- We understand, however, that non-TEFRA audit adjustments with respect to was 's tax year and/or other prereorganization years may be proposed in the future and a Form 870 waiver may be sought for these adjustments. If so, the proper party to execute the waiver (prior to) would be an officer of old _____, subsequently renamed , which was the common parent of the consolidated group during the pre-reorganization years. , which remained in existence following the major reorganization, continues to have authority to act as the agent for the members of the old group for the prereorganization years. Although , the corporation remains a viable liquidated in corporate body under Delaware law for three years to wind up its affairs. A Form 870 would appear to clearly fall within the scope of an officer's authority to wind up corporate business. After the three-year dissolution period, generally will cease to exist, and will no longer be available to act as agent for the group, except with respect to an action or suit initiated during the dissolution period.
- 3. It is necessary that the Exam team verify that any person executing the Form 870 on behalf of is an official authorized to transact corporate business

during the dissolution period. Further, the Form 870 should identify the taxpayer as " (EIN: (EI

FACTS

In
corporation) agreed to transfer its businesses and related assets to the businesses and related assets to the businesses and interested in acquiring the businesses of the businesses and related assets to the businesses and related assets as the businesses and related assets as the businesses and related assets as the businesses are the businesses and related assets as the businesses are the businesses and related as the businesses are the businesses are the businesses and the businesses are the busin
unrelated business operations, so in order to complete the deal, decided to shed these business assets. To accomplish
this, transferred its assets into separate operating subsidiaries. also formed New , a Delaware corporation,
as a wholly-owned subsidiary, with EIN The stock of the operating subsidiaries containing the
businesses was then transferred as a contribution of capital to New . Thereafter, the stock of New was distributed to . Thereafter, the stock of New . Thereafter is public shareholders. New . Thereafter is public shareholders.
then changed its name back to
Simultaneously, old kept its businesses and retained a number of subsidiaries. Pursuant to a merger agreement between and old merger, a transitory subsidiary of (merged with and into old with its shareholders receiving voting shares of
Old survived the merger and retained its old EIN of As part of the merger, old changed its name to
In, was liquidated by, pursuant to I.R.C. § 332.
At the present time, Exam seeks to secure a Form 870 in order to assess an additional tax liability arising from adjustments made to a partnership, known as

which one of 's subsidiaries was a partner during the

consolidated year ... , the subsidiary which was the partner in ______, was spun off to New ______ as part of the _____ reorganization, but was a member of the old consolidated group for the _____ tax year. A stipulated Decision reflecting a resolution of the partnership level adjustments for _____ was signed by the tax matters partner (unrelated to _____ or ____) and was filed with the Tax Court. The stipulated Decision was entered by the Tax Court on ______. No notice of appeal was taken.

In the future, other non-TEFRA tax adjustments may be proposed with respect to solicitated 's consolidated tax year or other pre-reorganization years for which Exam may want to solicit a Form 870 waiver.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

The partnership's tax year at issue was , which ended during some 's consolidated year .

allowed for filing a notice of appeal, which is 90 days after entry of the Tax Court decision, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 13(a). Accordingly, the Tax Court decision became final on and the one-year period under I.R.C. § 6229(d) for making the assessment will expire before

We also understand, however, that non-TEFRA audit adjustments may be proposed in the near future with respect to and other pre-reorganization years and a Form 870 waiver may be sought for these adjustments. If so, the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and a Form 870 waiver may be sought for these adjustments. If so, the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for these adjustments and the proper party (prior to be sought for the prior the prior

As a result of the sweeping reorganization, the old group effectively divided into separate groups, but remained in existence. It was the survivor corporation in what contends was a reverse triangular merger with the subsidiary. Following the merger, old merely changed its identity, while continuing to use its old EIN. Under Treas. Reg. § 1.1502-77(a), the common parent of the consolidated group is the sole agent for each subsidiary in the group and duly authorized to act in its own name in all matters relating to the consolidated tax liability of the group. The common parent remains the agent for the members of the group for any years during which it was the common parent, whether or not consolidated returns are filed in subsequent years, and whether or not one or more subsidiaries have become or have ceased to be members of the group at any time. Thus, Treas. Reg. § 1.1502-77(a) supports the continued agency authority of an old common parent for pre-acquisition years where the old common parent remains in existence following a reverse acquisition. See also, Union Oil Company of California v. Commissioner, 101 T.C. 130 (1993) (old parent held to be an agent for the consolidated group after a reverse acquisition for purposes of issuance of a deficiency notice.) It follows, therefore, that , as the surviving common parent of the old group, continued to have authority to act as the agent for the members of that group for the pre-acquisition years.

The facts indicate that was liquidated in the property index pelaware law, remains a viable corporate body for three years to wind up its affairs and thereafter for purposes of

any proceeding begun during this winding up period. 2 During the three-year period, the officers and directors of the corporation can prosecute and defend suits, generally settle and close the business, dispose of property, discharge liabilities, and distribute assets to its shareholders. 8 Del. Code Ann. § 278 (1999); see, Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Redwine, 757 F.2d 1544, 1549-1550 (5th Cir. 1985). Clearly, execution of a Form 870 would fall within the scope of an officer's authority to wind up corporate affairs, since it represents an action taken in the course of settling and closing the business and discharging corporate liabilities. Other types of waivers executed during a winding up period, specifically those extending the statute of limitations, have been held to be authorized. See, Rev. Rul. 71-467, 1971-2 C.B. 411 (Connecticut dissolution law similar to that of Delaware); Associates Investment Co. v. Commissioner, 59 T.C. 441 (1972) (Nebraska law patterned after Model Business Corporation Act); Field v. Commissioner, 32 T.C. 187 (1959), aff'd, 286 F.2d 960 (7th Cir. 1960) (Mich. Law); United States v. Krueger, 121 F.2d 842, 844 (3rd Cir. 1941) (waiver of statute of limitation within the power to settle corporate affairs under New Jersey dissolution statute.)

Of course, the Exam team should verify that any person executing the Form 870 on behalf of is still an official authorized to transact corporate business during the dissolution period. The Form 870 should identify the taxpayer as " (EIN:), formerly ".

For the sake of clarity, the foregoing name should be asterisked, and somewhere below on the Form 870, an asterisk should be added followed by language indicating that the liability relates to the consolidated tax liability of the consolidated group for the applicable pre-reorganization year(s).

The Exam team should also give serious consideration now to requesting to designate another member of its former group to act as a successor agent pursuant to Treas. Reg. § 1.1502-77(d) before termination of the three-year dissolution period.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call Michael A. Yost, Jr. at (412) 644-3441.

Richard S. Bloom
Associate Area Counsel (LMSB)

By: MICHAEL A. YOST, JR. Senior Attorney